# 2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute on Drug Abuse Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 12:02:55 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee No. 3b. GSA Committee No.

National Institute on Drug Abuse Initial Review Group 848

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 08/07/1995

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

**11. Establishment Authority** Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

**15. Description of Committee** Grant Review Committee

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$2,131.00	\$2,172.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$2,131.00	\$2,172.00

#### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee does not have any members. Staff at NIH continue to assess the need for this committee. During this reporting period the committee and its subcommittee did not meet.

# 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

This committee does not have members. Staff at NIH are assessing the continuing need for this committee. During this reporting period the committee and its subcommittee did not meet.

#### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee and its subcommittee did not hold any meetings during this reporting period.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

NIH staff are currently evaluating the need for this advisory committee.

# **20e.** Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

#### 21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any reports during this reporting period. This committee does not have a dedicated website. The need for this committee is currently being evaluated and as a result the committee did not meet this year. Staff costs have been included for the support of administrative requirements and continued analysis of the need for this committee in the future. The DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions are held by the same individual based on assigned duties within the IC.

#### **Designated Federal Officer**

GERALD MCLAUGHLIN CHIEF, SCIENTIFIC REVIEW BRANCH

#### **Narrative Description**

The National Institute on Drug Abuse Initial Review Group performed a vital function of determining the quality of scientific proposals. This evaluation serves as the basis for

making funding decisions in NIDA. The Committee provided high-quality, unbiased, and timely scientific reviews in the area of drug abuse. The continuing need for this committee is being evaluated by NIDA staff.

what are the most significant program outcomes associate	a with this committee?
	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	
Outcome Comments	
This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.	
What are the cost savings associated with this committee?	
	Checked if Applies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.	
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations prod for the life of the committee?	luced by this committee
4.046	

### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

#### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The percentage of recommendations implemented stated above was developed at the time the Annual Comprehensive Review report was prepared. Additional information is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at http://report.nih.gov.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

## % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the	agency <sub> </sub>	provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to
impleme	nt recomi	mendations or advice offered?
Yes	No 🗆	Not Applicable 🗹

### **Agency Feedback Comments**

This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	✓
Other	
Antina On was and	
Action Comments	
This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?	?
Yes	
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants reviewed for approval	0
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants recommended for approval	0
What is the estimated <b>Dollar Value</b> of grants recommended for approve	al \$0
Grant Review Comments	
This committee did not meeting during the fiscal year.	
How is access provided to the information for the Committee's do	cumentation?
<b>P</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	
Access Comments	
N/A	